



DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

REDDING RANCHERIA FEE-TO-TRUST AND CASINO PROJECT

VOLUME I - EIS

APRIL 2019

LEAD AGENCY:

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Pacific Region Office
2800 Cottage Way # W2820
Sacramento, CA 95825



Estimated Total Costs Associated with
Developing and Producing this Draft EIS - \$398,000

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

REDDING RANCHERIA FEE-TO-TRUST AND CASINO PROJECT

VOLUME I - EIS

APRIL 2019

LEAD AGENCY:

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Pacific Region Office
2800 Cottage Way # W2820
Sacramento, CA 95825



PREPARED BY:

Analytical Environmental Services
1801 7th Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 447-3479
www.analyticalcorp.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

REDDING RANCHERIA FEE-TO-TRUST AND CASINO PROJECT DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

VOLUME I

ES EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	Introduction.....	ES-1
ES.2	Purpose and Need	ES-1
ES.3	Summary of the Proposed Alternatives	ES-1
ES.4	Issues and Concerns	ES-3
ES.5	Summary Matrix	ES-4

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Summary of the Proposed Action and EIS Process	1-1
1.2	Purpose and Need for Proposed Action	1-2
1.3	Background	1-2
1.4	Overview of the Environmental Review Process	1-3
1.4.1	Scoping Process	1-3
1.4.2	Draft and Final EIS	1-4
1.5	Agreements with State and Local Governments	1-4
1.5.1	Tribal-State Gaming Compact	1-4
1.5.2	City of Redding Electrical Utilities Agreement	1-5
1.5.3	Municipal Services Agreement	1-5
1.6	Regulatory Requirements, Permits and Approvals	1-5

2.0 ALTERNATIVES

2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.2	Alternative Site Locations.....	2-1
2.2.1	Strawberry Fields Site – Alternatives A, B, C, and D	2-1
2.2.2	Off-site Access Improvement Areas – Alternatives A, B, C, and D.....	2-3
2.2.3	Anderson Site – Alternative E	2-6
2.2.4	Win-River Casino Site – Alternative F.....	2-6
2.3	Alternative A – Proposed Project	2-6
2.3.1	Fee-to-Trust Transfer	2-11
2.3.2	Alternative A Project Components	2-11
2.4	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	2-24
2.4.1	Water Supply	2-25
2.4.2	Wastewater Treatment and Disposal	2-25
2.4.3	Grading and Drainage	2-29
2.4.4	Protective Measures and Best Management Practices	2-29

2.5	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	2-29
2.5.1	Casino-Resort.....	2-30
2.5.2	Big-Box/Regional Retail.....	2-30
2.5.3	Site Access.....	2-30
2.5.4	Fire Protection / Emergency Response and Security / Law Enforcement	2-34
2.5.5	Water Supply	2-34
2.5.6	Wastewater Treatment and Disposal	2-34
2.5.7	Grading and Drainage.....	2-34
2.5.8	Energy.....	2-35
2.5.9	Renovation of Existing Casino for Tribal Governmental Uses	2-35
2.5.10	Protective Measures and Best Management Practices	2-35
2.5.11	Construction.....	2-35
2.6	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	2-35
2.6.1	Hotel and Regional Retail.....	2-38
2.6.2	Site Access.....	2-38
2.6.3	Fire Protection / Emergency Response and Security / Law Enforcement	2-39
2.6.4	Water Supply	2-39
2.6.5	Wastewater Treatment and Disposal	2-39
2.6.6	Grading and Drainage.....	2-39
2.6.7	Energy.....	2-40
2.6.8	Construction and Best Management Practices.....	2-40
2.7	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	2-40
2.7.1	Casino-Resort.....	2-40
2.7.2	Site Access.....	2-44
2.7.3	Fire Protection / Emergency Response	2-44
2.7.4	Security / Law Enforcement	2-44
2.7.5	Water Supply	2-44
2.7.6	Wastewater Treatment and Disposal	2-45
2.7.7	Grading and Drainage.....	2-45
2.7.8	Energy.....	2-46
2.7.9	Renovation of Existing Casino for Tribal Governmental Uses	2-46
2.7.10	Protective Measures and Best Management Practices	2-46
2.7.11	Construction.....	2-46
2.8	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	2-46
2.8.1	Casino Expansion	2-46
2.8.2	Parking Garage	2-48
2.8.3	Ancillary Components	2-48
2.8.4	Water Supply	2-48
2.8.5	Wastewater Treatment and Disposal	2-49
2.8.6	Grading and Drainage.....	2-49
2.8.7	Fire Protection / Emergency Response and Security / Law Enforcement	2-49
2.8.8	Protective Measures and Best Management Practices	2-49
2.7.10	Construction.....	2-49
2.7.10	Energy.....	2-49
2.9	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	2-50
2.10	Alternatives Eliminated from Consideration	2-50
2.10.1	Heritage Center and Walking Trails Alternative	2-50
2.10.2	Vineyard Alternative.....	2-50
2.10.3	Strawberry Fields Site Access Option 3	2-50
2.10.4	Strawberry Fields Site Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Option 3.....	2-51
2.10.5	Strawberry Fields Site Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Option 4.....	2-51

2.10.6	Anderson Site On-site Wastewater Treatment and Disposal	2-51
2.11	Comparison of Alternatives	2-51
2.11.1	Summary of Alternatives	2-52
2.11.2	Comparison of Environmental and Economic Consequences	2-53
3.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	
3.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
3.2	Geology and Soils	3.2-1
3.2.1	Regulatory Setting	3.2-1
3.2.2	Environmental Setting	3.2-3
3.3	Water Resources	3.3-1
3.3.1	Regulatory Setting	3.3-1
3.3.2	Environmental Setting	3.3-6
3.4	Air Quality	3.4-1
3.4.1	Regulatory Context	3.4-1
3.4.2	Air Quality Environmental Setting	3.4-8
3.5	Biological Resources	3.5-1
3.5.1	Regulatory Setting	3.5-1
3.5.2	Strawberry Fields Site.....	3.5-5
3.5.3	Off-site Access Improvement Areas.....	3.5-24
3.5.4	Anderson Site.....	3.5-31
3.5.5	Win-River Casino Site	3.5-42
3.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3.6-1
3.6.1	Regulatory Setting	3.6-1
3.6.2	Background Research	3.6-5
3.6.3	Site Specific Cultural Studies	3.6-5
3.6.4	Native American Coordination	3.6-9
3.6.5	Paleontological Resources	3.6-9
3.7	Socioeconomic Conditions	3.7-1
3.7.1	Regional Socioeconomic Characteristics.....	3.7-1
3.7.2	Socioeconomic Characteristics of Redding Rancheria	3.7-6
3.7.3	Environmental Justice.....	3.7-7
3.8	Transportation/Circulation.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Level of Service Standards	3.8-1
3.8.2	Existing Circulation Networks.....	3.8-3
3.8.3	Transit Services.....	3.8-15
3.8.4	Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	3.8-16
3.8.5	Pavement Conditions	3.8-17
3.9	Land Use	3.9-1
3.9.1	Regulatory Setting	3.9-1
3.9.2	Environmental Setting	3.9-5
3.9.3	Agriculture	3.9-7
3.10	Public Services.....	3.10-1
3.10.1	Water Supply	3.10-1
3.10.2	Wastewater Treatment	3.10-3
3.10.3	Solid Waste Services	3.10-5
3.10.4	Law Enforcement Services	3.10-6
3.10.5	Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services	3.10-8
3.10.6	Energy and Natural Gas	3.10-9
3.11	Noise	3.11-1

3.11.1	Acoustical Background and Terminology	3.11-1
3.11.2	Regulatory Setting	3.11-4
3.11.3	Environmental Setting	3.11-7
3.12	Hazardous Materials	3.12-1
3.12.1	Regulatory Setting	3.12-1
3.12.2	Environmental Setting	3.12-2
3.13	Aesthetics.....	3.13-1
3.13.1	Aesthetics Terminology	3.13-1
3.13.2	Regulatory Setting	3.13-2
3.13.3	Environmental Setting	3.13-5
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	
4.1	Introduction.....	4.1-1
4.2	Geology and Soils.....	4.2-1
4.2.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.2-1
4.2.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.2-4
4.2.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.2-6
4.2.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.2-7
4.2.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.2-9
4.2.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative.....	4.2-10
4.2.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.2-11
4.3	Water Resources	4.3-1
4.3.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.3-1
4.3.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.3-10
4.3.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.3-12
4.3.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.3-13
4.3.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.3-14
4.3.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative.....	4.3-18
4.3.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.3-20
4.4	Air Quality	4.4-1
4.4.1	Methodology	4.4-1
4.4.2	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.4-5
4.4.3	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.4-8
4.4.4	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.4-10
4.4.5	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.4-12
4.4.6	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.4-14
4.4.7	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative.....	4.4-16
4.4.8	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.4-18
4.5	Biological Resources	4.5-1
4.5.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.5-1
4.5.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.5-6
4.5.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.5-8
4.5.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.5-9
4.5.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.5-10
4.5.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative.....	4.5-11
4.5.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.5-12
4.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.6-1
4.6.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.6-1
4.6.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.6-2
4.6.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.6-3

4.6.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.6-3
4.6.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.6-4
4.6.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	4.6-4
4.6.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.6-5
4.7	Socioeconomic Conditions	4.7-1
4.7.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.7-2
4.7.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.7-13
4.7.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.7-17
4.7.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.7-20
4.7.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.7-23
4.7.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	4.7-26
4.7.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.7-28
4.8	Transportation/Circulation.....	4.8-1
4.8.1	Analysis Methodology	4.8-1
4.8.2	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.8-9
4.8.3	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.8-15
4.8.4	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.8-17
4.8.5	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.8-20
4.8.6	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.8-22
4.8.7	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	4.8-26
4.8.8	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.8-29
4.9	Land Use	4.9-1
4.9.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.9-1
4.9.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.9-3
4.9.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.9-3
4.9.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.9-3
4.9.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.9-3
4.9.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	4.9-4
4.9.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.9-5
4.10	Public Services.....	4.10-1
4.10.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.10-1
4.10.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.10-9
4.10.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.10-13
4.10.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.10-17
4.10.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.10-21
4.10.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	4.10-26
4.10.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.10-29
4.11	Noise	4.11-1
4.11.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.11-2
4.11.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.11-10
4.11.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.11-11
4.11.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.11-13
4.11.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.11-14
4.11.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	4.11-16
4.11.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative.....	4.11-19
4.12	Hazardous Materials	4.12-1
4.12.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.12-1
4.12.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.12-3
4.12.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.12-4
4.12.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	4.12-5
4.12.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.12-5

4.12.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	4.12-5
4.12.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative	4.12-7
4.13	Aesthetics	4.13-1
4.13.1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.13-1
4.13.2	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.13-10
4.13.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.13-11
4.13.4	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative	4.13-12
4.13.5	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.13-13
4.13.6	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	4.13-17
4.13.7	Alternative G – No Action Alternative	4.13-20
4.14	Indirect and Growth-Inducing Effects	4.14-1
4.14.1	Indirect Effects From Off-Site Traffic Mitigation Improvements	4.14-1
4.14.2	Indirect Effects From Utility/Infrastructure Connections	4.14-11
4.14.3	Growth-Inducing Effects	4.14-17
4.15	Cumulative Effects	4.15-1
4.15.1	Introduction	4.15-1
4.15.2	Cumulative Setting	4.15-2
4.15.3	Alternative A – Proposed Project	4.15-6
4.15.4	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	4.15-30
4.15.5	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	4.15-34
4.15.6	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative	4.15-38
4.15.7	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	4.15-41
4.15.8	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	4.15-51
4.15.9	Alternative G – No Action Alternative	4.15-59
5.0	MITIGATION MEASURES	
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Geology and Soils	5-1
5.3	Water Resources	5-3
5.4	Air Quality	5-3
5.5	Biological Resources	5-3
5.5.1	Special-Status Species	5-3
5.5.2	Nesting Migratory Birds	5-7
5.5.3	Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.	5-7
5.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	5-9
5.7	Socioeconomics	5-11
5.8	Transportation	5-11
5.8.1	Construction	5-11
5.8.2	Operation	5-12
5.9	Land Use	5-16
5.10	Public Services	5-16
5.10.1	Off-Site Water and Wastewater Services	5-16
5.10.2	Solid Waste	5-17
5.10.3	Law Enforcement	5-17
5.10.4	Fire Protection and Emergency Services	5-18
5.10.5	Electricity and Natural Gas	5-18
5.11	Noise	5-18
5.12	Hazardous Materials	5-19
5.13	Aesthetics	5-19

6.0	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION/LIST OF PREPARERS	6-1
6.1	Lead Agency	6-1
6.2	Cooperating Agencies	6-1
6.3	Federal Agencies	6-2
6.4	State and Local Agencies and Utilities	6-2
6.5	Environmental Consultants	6-3
7.0	ACRONYMS.....	7-1
8.0	REFERENCES.....	8-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1	Potential Permits and Approvals Required	1-5
Table 2-1	Alternative A – Proposed Project	2-14
Table 2-2	Proposed Project Alternative Best Management Practices	2-21
Table 2-3	Alternative B – Proposed Project with No Retail Alternative	2-28
Table 2-4	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity Alternative	2-33
Table 2-5	Alternative D – Non-Gaming Alternative.....	2-38
Table 2-6	Alternative E – Anderson Site Alternative	2-43
Table 2-7	Alternative F – Expansion of Existing Casino Alternative	2-48
Table 3.2-1	Strawberry Fields Site Soil Properties	3.2-5
Table 3.2-2	Off-Site Access Improvement Areas Soil Properties.....	3.2-10
Table 3.2-3	Anderson Site Soil Properties	3.2-12
Table 3.2-4	Win-River Casino Site Soil Properties	3.2-17
Table 3.3-1	Water Quality Standards for California Surface Waters.....	3.3-3
Table 3.3-2	Water Quality Objectives for Groundwater within the Sacramento River Basin Plan	3.3-12
Table 3.3-3	City of Redding Water Quality 2015 (System-Wide Average)	3.3-14
Table 3.3-4	Groundwater Elevations in the Vicinity of the Anderson Site.....	3.3-15
Table 3.3-5	Groundwater Quality of Wells within 1.0 Mile of the Anderson Site, 2007 - 2017 ...	3.3-16
Table 3.4-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.4-2
Table 3.4-2	Tribal Minor New Source Review Thresholds	3.4-4
Table 3.4-3	NAAQS Attainment Status for Shasta County	3.4-8
Table 3.4-4	Shasta County 2015 Emissions Estimates	3.4-9
Table 3.5-1	Strawberry Fields Site Habitat Types	3.5-9
Table 3.5-2	Potentially Occurring Special-Status Species on the Strawberry Fields Site	3.5-12
Table 3.5-3	Potentially Occurring Special-Status Species on the Off-Site Access Improvement Areas.....	3.5-26
Table 3.5-4	Summary of Terrestrial Habitats on the Anderson Site	3.5-32
Table 3.5-5	Potentially Occurring Special-Status Species on the Anderson Site	3.5-37
Table 3.5-6	Potentially Occurring Special-Status Species on the Win-River Casino Site.....	3.5-45
Table 3.7-1	Regional Population.....	3.7-1
Table 3.7-2	Regional Housing Stock	3.7-1
Table 3.7-3	Employment Data	3.7-2
Table 3.7-4	Household Income and Poverty Thresholds by Geographic Area	3.7-4
Table 3.7-5	Fiscal Year 2017 Property Tax Data.....	3.7-5
Table 3.7-6	Competitive Environment.....	3.7-6
Table 3.7-7	Redding Rancheria Tribal Statistics (2016)	3.7-6

Table 3.7-8	Minority Population Statistics.....	3.7-9
Table 3.8-1	Intersection Level of Service Criteria.....	3.8-1
Table 3.8-2	Roadway Segment Level of Service Criteria – Two-Lane	3.8-2
Table 3.8-3	Roadway Segment Level of Service Criteria – Multilane	3.8-2
Table 3.8-4	Freeway Segment Level of Service Criteria	3.8-2
Table 3.8-5	Existing (2016) Intersection Level of Service Summary	3.8-10
Table 3.8-6	Existing (2016) Roadway Segment Level of Service Summary – Two-Lane	3.8-12
Table 3.8-7	Existing (2016) Roadway Segment Level of Service Summary – Multilane	3.8-13
Table 3.8-8	Existing (2016) Freeway Segment Level of Service Summary	3.8-14
Table 3.9-1	Applicable County General Plan Agricultural Objectives and Policies.....	3.9-1
Table 3.9-2	City of Anderson Applicable General Plan Land Use Policies.....	3.9-5
Table 3.11-1	Acoustical Terminology	3.11-2
Table 3.11-2	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels.....	3.11-2
Table 3.11-3	Federal Construction Noise Thresholds.....	3.11-4
Table 3.11-4	Federal Noise Abatement Criteria Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level Decibels	3.11-5
Table 3.11-5	Construction Vibration Damage Criteria	3.11-6
Table 3.11-6	Exterior Noise Standards for New Transportation Sources or Roadway Improvement Projects in Shasta County and the City of Redding.....	3.11-6
Table 3.11-7	Summary of 15-Minute and 24-Hour Noise Level Measurements – Strawberry Fields Site	3.11-10
Table 3.11-8	Summary of 15-Minute and 24-Hour Noise Level Measurements – Anderson Site	3.11-11
Table 3.12-1	Results of Hazardous Materials Database Searches for the Strawberry Fields Site ...	3.12-3
Table 3.12-2	Results of Hazardous Materials Database Searches for the Anderson Site	3.12-7
Table 3.12-3	Results of Hazardous Materials Database Searches for the Win-River Casino Site	3.12-9
Table 3.13-1	City of Redding Applicable General Plan Goals and Policies.....	3.13-3
Table 3.13.2	City of Anderson Applicable General Plan Policies and Implementation Measures	3.13-4
Table 4.4-1	Alternative A Unmitigated Construction Emissions.....	4.4-6
Table 4.4-2	Alternative A Unmitigated Operational Emissions	4.4-7
Table 4.4-3	Summary of Localized CO Analysis (1 and 8-Hour) – Alternative A.....	4.4-7
Table 4.4-4	Alternative B Unmitigated Construction Emissions.....	4.4-8
Table 4.4-5	Alternative B Unmitigated Operational Emissions.....	4.4-9
Table 4.4-6	Alternative C Unmitigated Construction Emissions.....	4.4-10
Table 4.4-7	Alternative C Unmitigated Operational Emissions.....	4.4-11
Table 4.4-8	Summary of Localized CO Analysis (1 and 8-Hour) – Alternative C	4.4-12
Table 4.4-9	Alternative D Unmitigated Construction Emissions.....	4.4-13
Table 4.4-10	Alternative D Unmitigated Operational Emissions	4.4-14
Table 4.4-11	Alternative E Unmitigated Construction Emissions	4.4-15
Table 4.4-12	Alternative E Unmitigated Operational Emissions.....	4.4-15
Table 4.4-13	Alternative F Unmitigated Construction Emissions	4.4-16
Table 4.4-14	Alternative F Unmitigated Operational Emissions	4.4-17
Table 4.7-1	One-Time Shasta County Construction Impact (Millions, 2017 Dollars)	4.7-3
Table 4.7-2	Annual Shasta County Operational Economic Impact (in Millions)	4.7-3
Table 4.7-3	Estimated Year 1 (2020) Gaming Substitution Effects.....	4.7-4
Table 4.7-4	Non-Gaming Substitution Effects.....	4.7-5
Table 4.7-5	Approximate Projected Changes in Tax Revenue (in Millions of Dollars).....	4.7-7
Table 4.7-6	One-Time Shasta County Construction Employment and Wage Impacts	4.7-8
Table 4.7-7	Annual Shasta County Operational Employment and Wage Impacts	4.7-9
Table 4.7-8	Five Behaviors of Casino Customers.....	4.7-10

Table 4.8-1	Local Level of Service Standards	4.8-4
Table 4.8-2	Opening Year (2020) Intersection LOS Without Project.....	4.8-4
Table 4.8-3	Opening Year (2020) Roadway Segment LOS Without Project – Two-Lane.....	4.8-6
Table 4.8-4	Opening Year (2020) Roadway Segment LOS Without Project – Multilane.....	4.8-8
Table 4.8-5	Opening Year (2020) Freeway Segment LOS Without Project.....	4.8-8
Table 4.8-6	Alternative A Trip Generation	4.8-10
Table 4.8-7	Opening Year (2020) Intersection LOS Summary with Alternative A.....	4.8-12
Table 4.8-8	Opening Year (2020) Roadway Segment LOS Summary with Alternative A – Two-Lane.....	4.8-13
Table 4.8-9	Opening Year (2020) Roadway Segment LOS Summary with Alternative A – Multilane.....	4.8-13
Table 4.8-10	Opening Year (2020) Freeway Segment LOS Summary with Alternative A.....	4.8-14
Table 4.8-11	Alternative B Trip Generation	4.8-15
Table 4.8-12	Opening Year (2020) Intersection LOS Summary with Alternative B.....	4.8-16
Table 4.8-13	Alternative C Trip Generation	4.8-18
Table 4.8-14	Opening Year (2020) Intersection LOS Summary with Alternative C.....	4.8-19
Table 4.8-15	Alternative D Trip Generation	4.8-20
Table 4.8-16	Opening Year (2020) Intersection LOS Summary with Alternative D.....	4.8-21
Table 4.8-17	Alternative E Trip Generation	4.8-23
Table 4.8-18	Opening Year (2020) Intersection LOS Summary with Alternative E.....	4.8-24
Table 4.8-19	Opening Year (2020) Roadway Segment LOS Summary with Alternative E – Two-Lane.....	4.8-25
Table 4.8-20	Opening Year (2020) Freeway Segment LOS Summary with Alternative E.....	4.8-26
Table 4.8-21	Alternative F Trip Generation.....	4.8-27
Table 4.8-22	Opening Year (2020) Intersection LOS Summary with Alternative F	4.8-28
Table 4.8-23	Opening Year (2020) Roadway Segment LOS Summary with Alternative F – Two-Lane.....	4.8-29
Table 4.8-24	Opening Year (2020) Roadway Segment LOS Summary with Alternative F – Multilane.....	4.8-29
Table 4.10-1	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative A	4.10-5
Table 4.10-2	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative B.....	4.10-11
Table 4.10-3	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative C.....	4.10-15
Table 4.10-4	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative D	4.10-19
Table 4.10-5	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative E.....	4.10-24
Table 4.10-6	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative F.....	4.10-28
Table 4.11-1	Typical Construction Equipment Noise.....	4.11-2
Table 4.11-2	Vibration Levels for Construction Equipment.....	4.11-4
Table 4.11-3	Opening Year (2020) Traffic Volumes and Ambient Noise Levels – Alternative A Site Access Options 1 and 2.....	4.11-7
Table 4.11-4	Traffic Volumes and Ambient Noise Levels – Alternative E.....	4.11-16
Table 4.11-5	Traffic Volumes and Ambient Noise Levels – Alternative F	4.11-18
Table 4.15-1	Cumulative Development in the City of Redding, Anderson and Shasta County	4.15-3
Table 4.15-2	Alternative A Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions	4.15-10
Table 4.15-3	Summary of Localized Cumulative CO Analysis (1 and 8-Hour) – Alternative A ..	4.15-11
Table 4.15-4	Greenhouse Gas CO ₂ Equivalent	4.15-12
Table 4.15-5	Project-Related GHG Emissions – Alternative A.....	4.15-13
Table 4.15-6	Compliance with State Emissions Reduction Strategies.....	4.15-14
Table 4.15-7	Cumulative Year (2035) Intersection Without Project LOS	4.15-17
Table 4.15-8	Cumulative Year (2035) Roadway Segment LOS Without Project – Two-Lane.....	4.15-20
Table 4.15-9	Cumulative Year (2035) Roadway Segment LOS Without Project – Multilane.....	4.15-21
Table 4.15-10	Cumulative Year (2035) Freeway Segment LOS Without Project.....	4.15-21

Table 4.15-11	Cumulative Year (2035) Traffic Volumes and Ambient Noise Levels – Alternative A Site Access Options 1 and 2.....	4.15-28
Table 4.15-12	Alternative B Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions.....	4.15-31
Table 4.15-13	South Bonnyview Road at I-5 NB Ramps Summary of Localized Cumulative CO Analysis (1 and 8-Hour).....	4.15-32
Table 4.15-14	Churn Creek Road at Alrose Lane Summary of Localized Cumulative CO Analysis (1 and 8-Hour)	4.15-32
Table 4.15-15	Project-Related GHG Emissions – Alternative B.....	4.15-33
Table 4.15-16	Alternative C Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions.....	4.15-35
Table 4.15-17	Summary of Localized Cumulative CO Analysis (1 and 8-Hour) – Alternative C ..	4.15-36
Table 4.15-18	Project-Related GHG Emissions – Alternative C.....	4.15-36
Table 4.15-19	Alternative D Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions	4.15-38
Table 4.15-20	Summary of Localized Cumulative CO Analysis (1 and 8-Hour) – Alternative D ..	4.15-39
Table 4.15-21	Project-Related GHG Emissions – Alternative D.....	4.15-40
Table 4.15-22	Alternative E Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions	4.15-43
Table 4.15-23	Project-Related GHG Emissions – Alternative E.....	4.15-44
Table 4.15-24	Cumulative Year (2035) Traffic Volumes and Ambient Noise Levels – Alternative E.....	4.15-51
Table 4.15-25	Alternative F Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions	4.15-53
Table 4.15-26	Project-Related GHG Emissions – Alternative F	4.15-54
Table 4.15-27	Cumulative Year (2035) Traffic Volumes and Ambient Noise Levels – Alternative F	4.15-58

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	Regional Location.....	2-2
Figure 2-2	Site and Vicinity – Strawberry Fields Site.....	2-4
Figure 2-3	Aerial Photograph – Strawberry Fields Site	2-5
Figure 2-4	Site and Vicinity – Anderson Site.....	2-7
Figure 2-5	Aerial Photograph – Anderson Site	2-8
Figure 2-6	Site and Vicinity – Win-River Casino Site	2-9
Figure 2-7	Aerial Photograph – Win-River Casino Site.....	2-10
Figure 2-8	Alternative A – Site Plan	2-12
Figure 2-9	Alternative A – Architectural Rendering	2-13
Figure 2-10	Alternative B – Site Plan	2-26
Figure 2-11	Alternative B – Architectural Rendering	2-27
Figure 2-12	Alternative C – Site Plan	2-31
Figure 2-13	Alternative C – Architectural Rendering	2-32
Figure 2-14	Alternative D – Site Plan	2-36
Figure 2-15	Alternative D – Architectural Rendering.....	2-37
Figure 2-16	Alternative E – Site Plan.....	2-41
Figure 2-17	Alternative E – Architectural Rendering	2-42
Figure 2-18	Alternative F – Site Plan.....	2-47
Figure 3.2-1	Soil Types – Strawberry Fields Site.....	3.2-4
Figure 3.2-2	Regional Fault Map	3.2-8
Figure 3.2-3	Soil Types – Anderson Site.....	3.2-13
Figure 3.2-4	Soil Types – Win-River Casino Site.....	3.2-16
Figure 3.3-1	Strawberry Fields Site Floodplain Map	3.3-7
Figure 3.3-2	Anderson Site Floodplain Map	3.3-13
Figure 3.3-3	Win-River Casino Site Floodplain Map	3.3-18

Figure 3.5-1	Habitat Types – Strawberry Fields Site	3.5-7
Figure 3.5-2	Strawberry Fields Site Photographs	3.5-8
Figure 3.5-3	Habitat Types – Anderson Site	3.5-33
Figure 3.5-4	Anderson Site Photographs.....	3.5-34
Figure 3.7-1	Census Tracts.....	3.7-3
Figure 3.8-1	Strawberry Fields Site Study Area Intersections	3.8-4
Figure 3.8-2	Anderson Site Study Area Intersections	3.8-5
Figure 3.8-3	Win-River Casino Site Study Area Intersections.....	3.8-6
Figure 3.9-1	Zoning Designations for the Strawberry Fields Site.....	3.9-3
Figure 3.9-2	City of Anderson Zoning Designations	3.9-4
Figure 3.9-3	FMMP Designations for the Strawberry Fields Site.....	3.9-9
Figure 3.9-4	FMMP Designations for the Anderson Site.....	3.9-10
Figure 3.11-1	Alternatives A, B, C, and D – Noise Monitoring Sites.....	3.11-8
Figure 3.11-2	Alternative E – Noise Monitoring Sites.....	3.11-9
Figure 3.13-1	Strawberry Fields Site Viewshed Photograph Locations.....	3.13-7
Figure 3.13-2	Strawberry Fields Site Viewshed Photographs.....	3.13-8
Figure 3.13-3	Anderson Site Viewshed Photograph Locations.....	3.13-11
Figure 3.13-4	Anderson Site Viewshed Photographs.....	3.13-12
Figure 3.13-5	Win-River Casino Site Viewshed Photograph Locations	3.13-14
Figure 3.13-6	Win-River Casino Site Viewshed Photographs	3.13-15
Figure 4.13-1	Strawberry Fields Site – Viewshed A.....	4.13-3
Figure 4.13-2	Strawberry Fields Site – Viewshed B	4.13-4
Figure 4.13-3	Strawberry Fields Site – Viewshed C	4.13-6
Figure 4.13-4	Strawberry Fields Site – Viewshed D.....	4.13-7
Figure 4.13-5	Strawberry Fields Site – Viewshed E	4.13-8
Figure 4.13-6	Anderson Site – Viewshed A.....	4.13-15
Figure 4.13-7	Anderson Site – Viewshed B	4.13-16
Figure 4.13-8	Anderson Site – Viewshed C	4.13-18
Figure 4.14-1	Traffic Mitigation Locations.....	4.14-3
Figure 4.14-2	Option 1 Off-site Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Improvements	4.14-12
Figure 4.15-1	Cumulative Project Locations.....	4.15-5